



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,057	03/31/2004	Wanzhu Hou	26293-168739	5257

38598 7590 09/14/2006

ANDREWS KURTH LLP
1350 I STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

WEBB, SARAH K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3731

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/813,057

Applicant(s)

HOU ET AL.

Examiner

Sarah K. Webb

Art Unit

3731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/21/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims include structural limitations based on human anatomy, i.e. the metal member is positioned at Baihui acupoint. This renders the claims indefinite because the location of an acupoint is different for each person. Also, the placement of the metal member depends upon the application by the user. Further, the relative spacing of the plurality of metal members is another variable dependent upon on the spacing of the acupoints for each individual person. Therefore, the structural limitations of the device dependent upon human anatomy are indefinite.

Claims 16-20 include other indefinite terms. Claim 16 recites "second treatment zone" and claim 19 recites "third treatment zone." Since these are independent claims and the first treatment zone is never defined in these claims, the use of the terms second treatment zone and third treatment zone is improper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application

Art Unit: 3731

filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,4,6,8,9,13,14,16,17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,711,750 to Yoo.

The device claims include much language directed toward the intended use of the device, such as "positioned at a Baihui acupoint", "presses said metal member against said Baihui acupoint", and "positioned at a plurality of acupoints in a first treatment zone." The prior art only needs to be capable of performing this intended function to meet these limitations. Yoo discloses a supporting member (10) with a plurality of metallic protruding members (32) attached to the inner surface. The device is capable of being applied to a human's head so that a protrusion is aligned with the Baihui acupoint.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5,7, and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,792,174 to Ioan in view of US Patent No. 6,238,413 to Wexler.

Ioan discloses a cap for applying acupressure over a human scalp. The supporting member is in the form of a cap with protrusions covering the inner surface.

Art Unit: 3731

Ioan states that the protrusions are spaced 10-15mm apart and cover the entire inner surface of the cap, so the plurality of protrusions are inherently located at multiple acupoints. There are protrusions located at the Baihui acupoint and others located in the three treatment zones set forth in the claims. Ioan simply fails to form the protrusions from a metallic material, but Wexler teaches that protrusions on an acupressure device for the head can be made of metal as an alternative to rubber and plastic (column 4, lines 18-20). It would have been obvious of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the protrusions of the Ioan device from metal, as Wexler teaches that any material capable of applying pressure to the head can be used to form protrusions on an acupressure device.

Regarding claims 2,3, and 5, it is within an ordinary level of skill in the art to choose suitable materials for a particular application. The materials listed in the claims are also well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to form the device from the materials set forth in these claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKW

9/11/06

Julian W. Woo

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER